Reading / EN

Learning needs room to move.

Knowledge, attention, and the question of whether help really helps.

Learning needs room to move.

When more study time changes little, it is worth taking a closer look at the task, the demands, and the feedback. A reading about exam preparation and independent learning with AI.

Another hour at the desk

A student sits over her notes in the evening. She has read a great deal, highlighted the important passages, and collected several summaries. Yet she cannot solve a new problem independently. So she extends her next study day. After a few days, her time at the desk is growing faster than her understanding. This example is fictional. It illustrates a question already present in the source text on learning applications: What change would actually help here?

The quick explanation might be: not enough discipline. The more precise explanation begins with an investigation. Is particular knowledge missing? Is the task unclear? Is the work constantly interrupted? Or does the planned workload exceed what is currently possible? These questions take responsibility seriously because they seek a point at which change is possible. They replace a premature judgment of the person with an examination of the specific situation.

M. Selman Yildizhan: ADL – Anwendungsbeispiele, Version 1.0 · 14 July 2026 · Sections 1–14. The figures in the source are synthetic demonstration data.

demonstration data.

When AI assistance supports learning

Generative AI makes the question of help particularly clear: a completed solution and progress in one's own learning can look similar from the outside. UNESCO's 2023 guidance on generative AI in education and research, whose publication page was updated in January 2026, calls for a human-centered approach and pedagogical assessment of its use. This does not amount to a blanket promise of effectiveness for any particular tool.

The following exercise is an editorial adaptation, not a recommendation based on evidence of ADL's effectiveness: first ask for a follow-up question about your own approach. Request a hint before opening the complete solution. Then explain in your own words why the decisive step works. Check disputed statements against your materials and the authoritative subject sources. This makes the purpose of the help visible: it should enable your next independent action.

UNESCO: Guidance for generative AI in education and research · Fengchun Miao and Wayne Holmes, 2023 · Publication page updated on 16 January 2026 · Checked on 21 October 2026.

The comparison must be allowed to be simpler

The source explicitly requires comparison with a simpler alternative. For this example, an initial comparison can be a study session without AI under the same task conditions. What will be observed is defined beforehand: for instance, being able to explain a similar problem independently the next day. The number of summaries produced or the length of a chat history would be only indirect indications of this.

A single comparison proves little. Differences in task difficulty, prior knowledge, how someone feels that day, and practice effects can influence the result. Initially, the experiment serves personal orientation. A general claim about learning success would require a sound research design. If a simple method helps just as well with less effort, that is a useful finding. The model must be able to justify the additional effort it requires.

M. Selman Yildizhan: ADL – Anwendungsbeispiele, Version 1.0 · 14 July 2026 · Sections 1–14. The figures in the source are synthetic demonstration data.

A standard that remains open to correction

The goal, too, is part of the investigation. Is the aim to pass an exam, develop a skill, or understand a subject out of interest? A plan that merely maximizes working hours can miss its actual purpose. Conversely, someone who changes the goal after every difficulty so that the plan appears successful loses comparability. Changes of goal can be reasonable; they should remain recognizable as such.

For the coming week, a specific task, a justified form of assistance, and a time to look back are enough. What has become more independent? What support was necessary? What remains unclear? These questions give learning a framework without reducing the person to a score. Room to move means here that a plan can learn from observed difficulties while its purpose and limits remain open.

Questions

What task can you work on tomorrow without a model answer to check your progress?

What help enables you to take your own next step, and what help takes that step for you?

How would you recognize that the simpler study method is enough for you?

About this edition

Adapted from “ADL – Anwendungsbeispiele,” especially sections 3 and 4 on learning and exam preparation. The mathematical demonstration has been recast as an independent reading edition grounded in everyday life. The section on generative AI is a new editorial addition.

Explains knowledge, energy, and focus as distinct questions; derives no assessment of personality from metrics.

Replaces synthetic model values with an explicitly fictional example and a simple comparison.

Places AI learning aids in the context of the UNESCO guidance; discloses the benefits and limits of the proposed exercise.

An independent adaptation of selected passages with new examples and context. This is not a complete reprint of the source texts. Examples are fictional; ADL interpretations are editorial applications. External sources do not establish ADL’s effectiveness.

Sources

M. Selman Yildizhan: ADL – Anwendungsbeispiele, Version 1.0

14 July 2026 · Sections 1–14. The figures in the source are synthetic demonstration data.

UNESCO: Guidance for generative AI in education and research

Fengchun Miao and Wayne Holmes, 2023 · Publication page updated on 16 January 2026 · Checked on 21 October 2026.